

decisions of a public school. See, e.g., Tower v. Kennedy (Plymouth Superior Court No. 89-2566A) (Mathers, J.) (high school student at a party with alcohol on a weekend); Lenuto v. Town of Duxbury (Plymouth Superior Court No. PLCV2002-01397) (Troy, J.) (high school student possessing beer in a car); Sandbach v. Mangiaratti (Bristol Superior Court No. 93-00542) (Stearns, J.) (high school student possessing alcohol on school grounds); Koch v. Dr. Denise M. Walsh (Plymouth Superior Court No. 03-01321) (Brady, J.) (high school student intoxicated at a high school dance); Eddy v. Eileen C. Williams, et al. (Plymouth Superior Court No. 01-0605A) (Hely, J.) (high school student intoxicated at school).

15. As Judge Troy found in denying a request by a high school student for an injunction against the imposition of discipline for possession of alcohol,

Although ... there is a risk of irreparable harm [to the student], potential loss of scholarship and admission to the school of his choice, I find that there is a greater risk of irreparable harm to the Duxbury defendants who are trying to deal with the issue of underage drinking which results in death and serious injury.

If public policy reasons [are considered], I find that the administrative decision must be upheld, the TRO must be denied, because I do find that a message will be sent if this Court overturns the decisions made by the school officials.

Lanuto, slip op. at 4.